

Sedex Members Ethical Trade Audit Report





Audit Details									
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)	ZC1063399		Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZS1036936				
Business name (Company name):	Lantmannen Cerealia								
Site name:	Lantmannen Cerealia Vejle								
Site address: (Please include full address)	Moellegade 12, 7100 Vejle		Country:		Denmark				
Site contact and job title:	Rikke Møller Madsen, Quality Manager								
Site phone:	+45 26 34 61 42		Site e-mail:		Rikke.madsen@lantmannen.com				
SMETA Audit Type:	□ Labour Standards	_	Health & fety	□ Environment		■ Business Ethics			
Date of Audit:	11th to 12th April 2018								

A 124 O	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	 **CONSTRUCT 		11
Audit Company	Name &	Logo:	Bureau	veritas



Report Owner (payee): Lantmannen Cerealia Vejle

Audit Conducted By									
Commercial		Purchaser		Retailer					
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union					
Multi– stakeholder			Combined Audit (select all that apply)						



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.0 April 2017 was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - · Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): None

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Stine JOSEFSEN

Team auditor: - NA

Interviewers: Stine JOSEFSEN

Report writer: Stine JOSEFSEN Report reviewer: Prasad Lohar

Date of declaration: 17th April 2018

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.